

## Proposed Bylaws Amendments

Article III, Section 1.

G. No voting member may be appointed to the Board for more than two consecutive four year terms, however. The appointment for the remainder of a term of less than 2 years will not count toward this limitation. Board members **who can no longer serve due to term limits** may be appointed by the Board and remain on the Board as an Associate Member (as defined in Section 4, below).

Article IV

A. The officers of the Board shall be a Chair, Vice-Chair, and a Secretary. These officers shall perform the duties prescribed by these bylaws and the parliamentary authority adopted by the Board.

### ARTICLE VIII – PARLIAMENTARY AUTHORITY

**Current provision:** The rules contained in the current edition of “Robert’s Rules of Order Newly Revised” shall govern the Board in all cases to which they are applicable and in which they are not inconsistent with these bylaws and any special rules of order the Board may adopt and any status applicable to the Board; usually, informal rules shall apply (Section 48 and 49, Robert’s Rules of Order). .—We do not follow Robert’s Rules and certainly do not want to.

**Proposed New Provision:** Where consistent with state law, and not otherwise provided in these By-Laws, the Board may refer to the principles of the most recent edition of Robert’s Rules of Order for guidance in conducting its meetings.

I am suggesting that we amend the following section re: associate members.

### SECTION 3 – ASSOCIATE MEMBERS

The Board, solely at its own discretion and by a majority vote of the Board, may designate **or remove** Associate Members. The Associate Member will either be a Member who has served their term-limit or a professional or expert who can provide the Board advice and assistance. Associate Members shall have none of the obligations of membership on the Board, but shall be entitled to all of the privileges except those of making motions, of voting, and of holding office.

The Bylaws also require our Board to meet quarterly with the senior boards from the City of Reno and City of Sparks. See Article VII-Committees, Section B. This has been difficult and impractical. We cannot force them to meet quarterly and in fact, they have not always

been willing to join us. I do think it is important to meet together well in advance of Older Americans Month. I think we should delete this provision or amend it as follows:

**B. At least once per year, the Board will invite representatives from the City of Reno Senior Citizen Advisory Committee and Sparks Senior Citizen Advisory Committee to participate in a joint meeting. They will collaborate on service strategies, special events, advocacy and informing public officials of the needs of seniors.**